

Solution to last month's puzzle: A. Posey's Cottage; B. Angst; C. Tam o shanter; D. Bear; E. Roast; F. Or Winner Wagner; G. Womens restroom; H. Nit wit; I. RISE fell; J. EIER CEQA; K. At Modoc; L. Grimes; M. Alioto; N. Nine years to life; O. Antonovich; P. NIH ssh; Q. Delta; R. Reston; S. Ed Davis; T. At DMV; U. Little Hoover; V. Insurance Financial Institutions; W. Touchie feelied; X. Yiddish Mom.

From Edmund G. Brown Sr., Reagan and Reality, page 29: "There are moments . . . when the words don't come out quite as the politician intends. I'm still trying to live down a comment I made about a series of devastating floods in Northern California several years ago: 'This is the worst disaster in California since my election as Governor.'"

A pair of losers

Gerald Ford looked foolish, and Ronald Reagan made the least of his opportunity. This would seem to be a fair summation of the way the nation's two most prominent Republicans conducted themselves in the process leading to selection of a new GOP national chairman.

Despite advice from numerous Republicans not to risk his shaky titular leadership in an intra-party fight, Ford could not resist the opportunity to back a friend nor a chance to thwart the man who had challenged him for the presidential nomination. The friend was James A. Baker III of Texas, Ford's campa gn manager in the general election race. Ford wanted Baker so badly he was blind to the fact that Baker did not want the job. Baker is a highly competent attorney with a distast of political infighting, and has a large family and a wife who doesn't like Washington. Furthermore, he was almost unknown to most members of the Republican National Committee.

Still, GOP officials are a docile lot, especially when confronted with a Republican President, and there is little doubt that the national committee would have chosen Baker had he stayed in the battle with Ford's backing. When Baker pulled out, he angered or embarrassed influential national committee members who had gone out on a limb for him out of loyalty to the President. The effect was so devastating that Ford's fall-back candidate, Ohio State GOP Chairman Kent B. McGough, received only 20 votes out of 161 on the first ballot and was never a factor in the race.

Baker's withdrawal created a real opportunity for Reagan, whose supporters were hoping he would use the vacancy in the top GOP post as an opportunity to exercise political leadership. One course open to Reagan was to swing his supporters toward the man who eventually became chairman, former Tennessee Senator William E. Brock, a capable organizer with a conservative record. Brock, uncertain of his own election, would have welcomed Reagan's support. Instead, Reagan tried to stop the Tennesseean by sticking with the hopeless candidacy of Utah GOP Chairman Richard Richards, who was suspected by some committee members of being a doctrinaire conservative and by others of being too lightweight for the job. Richards was such a lost cause that the man who nominated him was moved to defensively describe his candidate as "not an idealogue but an engineer." Richards lost, after three ballots, and Reagan lost with him. Instead of being dependent upon national committee conservatives for his election, Brock wound up owing his victory to moderates in the East and Midwest and to such anti-Reagan Republicans as California GO: Chairman Paul Haerle.

The day afterward, a few miles away, Reagan spoke to a conservative academic group at the Mayflower Hotel in Washington. He gave a well-crafted speech, urging conservatives to stay wthin the Republican Party and "start acting to bring about the great conservative majority party we know is waiting to be created." But the speech disappointed those Republicans who had hoped that Reagan had tired of being a party outsider and would reach for a leadership role. Instead, an increasing number of national Republicans now regard Reagan, with his soon-to-be-formed political action committee, as a rival GOP center that will divide the party along conservative-moderate lines at a time when it can least afford to be divided. And some of Reagan's own staunch national supporters are making the same complaint that was heard from party regulars in California in the fading days of Reagan's governorship.

"Ron's a great speaker, which is swell," said one of these backers at the national committee meeting. "The trouble is we need a leader, not a speaker."

William T. Bagley, the former California assemblyman who is now the chairman of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission, provided an exceptionally useful act of leadership when he sent a letter to 45 federal multi-member agencies or commissions detailing the myriad ways in which public bodies had tried to circumvent California's open-meeting laws.

Bagley's purpose was to warn his fellow commission chairmen that the new "Government in the Sunshine Act," which goes into effect March 12th, won't work unless the agencies want to follow the spirit as well as the letter of the law. His letter described various evasions of California laws and explained how courts had ruled on them.

"Seeing the much more pervasive atmosphere of secrecy in Washington, I just have to think that the same pattern is going to be attempted here," Bagley said. "Why not use the California experience to head it off?"

The much-traveled Hale Champion, onetime reporter and onetime California state finance director and onetime University of Minnesota vice president, is leaving the Harvard vice-presidency to come to Washington as assistant secretary of the Department of Health, Education and Welfare. All of which recalls Champion's long-ago reply to a reporter from Madison, Wisconsin, who asked him how he had begun his varied career. "I got out of Madison," Champion replied.