REVIEW

A PUBLICATION OF THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC POLICY FOUNDATION

> JOHN KURZWEIL EDITOR & PUBLISHER

JAN EDWARDS MANAGING EDITOR

CONTRIBUTING EDITORS DAN BRENNAN LEON MCKINNEY • WASHINGTON LETTER RICHARD FERRIER • ACADEME STEVE BALDWIN • EDUCATION MARK S. PULLIAM • LEGAL ISSUES CORRESPONDENT WILLIAM R. ALLEN • ECONOMICS CORRESPONDENT TIM W. FERGUSON • THE WORKING PRESS RICHARD MORGAN • POET LAUREATE DAVID FAUSTMAN • BOOKS

> GENE FOLEY • BUSINESS MANAGER PAUL MCCAULEY • BUSINESS SERVICES SHAWN STEEL • LEGAL ADVISOR

EDITORIAL BOARD

Peter Hannaford, Harold Johnson Manuel S. Klausner, John Kurzweil, William Rusher, William E. Saracino, G. B. Tennyson

California Political Review (ISSN 1075-3079) is published bimonthly by the California Public Policy Foundation. Send address changes, manuscripts, and Correspondence to: Editor, California Political Review, Post Office Box 931, Camarillo, CA 93011-0931. Correspondence may be condensed due to space limitations. Unsolicited manuscripts should be accompanied by a stamped, selfaddressed envelope. Signed articles express their authors' opinions and do not necessarily reflect the views of the California Public Policy Foundation which does not endorse or oppose candidates or intervene in any candidate election campaign. Unsigned editorials express the editors' opinions and not necessarily the views of the California Public Policy Foundation. Rates: \$19.95 yearly (six issues). Outside U.S. add \$4/year surface, \$20 for airmail. California Political Review is copyright © 2000 by the California Public Policy Foundation.

California Political Review (ISSN 1075-3079) is published bimonthly for \$19.95 per year by the California Public Policy Foundation, 15456 Ventura Blvd., Suite 300, Sherman Oaks, CA 91403. Periodical Postage Paid at Van Nuys, CA, and additional mailing offices. POST-MASTER: Send address changes to *California Political Review*, P.O. Box 931, Camarillo, CA 93011-0931.

CORRESPONDENCE

Where is thy sting?

Sacramento Spectator (CPR, Sept./ Oct.) writes, "You just happen to have a fund raiser two days before a committee or floor vote on legislation that has been pending for a year or more. All readers who think a lobbyist can say 'no' in this situation please raise your hands." Didn't the FBI, Justice Department, and Dan Walters several years ago conduct a "sting" to end purported "vote-selling," sending legislators to prison and turning the Capitol upside down? What did I miss?

Jason Frank San Francisco

Logophile lauds Criticus

A thank you note for "Sirius Matters" ("Criticus," *CPR*, July/August 2000). A retired professor and active logophile, I was especially charmed by this roundup of follies. Your writings are customarily the part of the *Review* that I read first. Please keep it up!

W.S. Penn, Jr., Ph.D. Ultracrepidarian Society of America Davis, California

Criticus Responds: When I first saw letterhead from something called the Ultracrepidarian

Society of America I figured I'd been found out and was in for a drubbing. After all, I had just been privately lambasted by my zeppelin-fiend friend for saying that blimps are deflated each night when in fact they are deflated very infrequently because refilling one with helium is costly. I assumed a similar charge would be coming from the USA, and I was preparing my Algorean answer, which was that I only appeared to be saying they were deflated every night when what I was trying to convey was that they could be deflated every night just as their newspaper counterpart (viz., the L.A. Times) was by nighttime an empty piece of trash. That seemed the only way to wiggle out of it. Imagine my delight, then, to read the above letter and find that it was complimentary and not even on the blimp topic. My best thanks to Mr. Penn.

Oh, yes, for those few readers who may not know what "ultracrepidarian" means, let me offer you the official Oxford English Dictionary definitions. As an adjective: "Going beyond one's proper province; giving opinions of matters beyond one's knowledge." As a noun: "One who ventures beyond his scope; an ignorant or presumptuous critic." Constant Readers may say, "Not Criticus surely." Criticus feels compelled to say, "Well, maybe on rare occasions."

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT, AND CIRCULATION, 10/5/00

Title: California Political Review (ISSN 1075-3079). Frequency: Bi-monthly. Six issues annually. Subscription price: \$19.95. Location of publication office: 15456 Ventura Blvd., Ste. 300, Sherman Oaks, Los Angeles County, CA 91403. Contact: John Kurzweil, 805/445-9183. Publisher's Mailing Address: PO Box 931, Camarillo, CA 93011-0931. Publisher: The California Public Policy Foundation, PO Box 931, Camarillo, CA 93011-0931. Editor: John Kurzweil, PO Box 931, Camarillo, CA 93011-0931. Managing Editor: Jan Edwards, PO Box 931, Camarillo, CA 93011-0931. Owner: The California Public Policy Foundation, PO Box 931, Camarillo, CA 93011-0931. Owner: The California Public Policy Foundation, PO Box 931, Camarillo, CA 93011-0931. Owner: The California Public Policy Foundation, PO Box 931, Camarillo, CA 93011-0931. Publication name: California Political Review. Issue date for circulation data below: Sept/Oct 2000.

		Actual copies of single issue pub. nearest to filing date	
A. Total no. copies printed	2220	2210	
B1. Out-of-County paid circulation periodical	s mail 1167	1062	
B2 . In County paid circulation, mail	279	274	
B3 . Paid circulation, Newstands	5	5	
B4. Other paid, mail circulation	23	0	
C. Total paid circulation	1474	1341	
D1. Out-of-County free distribution periodica	ıls mail 313	301	
D2. In County free distribution periodicals ma	ail 65	61	
D3. Other free distribution by mail	0	0	
E. Free distribution, not mail	0	30	
F. Total free distribution	378	392	
G. Total distribution	1852	1733	
H. Copies not distributed	368	477	
I. Total	2220	2210	
J. Percent paid and/or requested circulation	80%	79%	
I certify that the statements made by me above	are correct and complet	e. John Kurzweil, Editor	

CALIFORNIA POLITICAL REVIEW

"...witty, soulful, humorous, candid, irreverent, biting, sardonic..."

The Midwest Book Review

Unbridled Joy – The Verse of Joy Skilmer

by Lyn Nofziger

Politics, the election, current events, sports figures, and more – all in the form of VERSE. Over 100 poems amuse and provoke without mercy. Thoroughly Lyn.

"Nobody knows politics better than Lyn Nofziger and nobody has a more robust sense of humor. He has combined these talents to write a book of politic satire in verse which will leave the reader bursting with laughter and smarter about politics." --Ed Meese

Unbridled Joy is available from local and on-line bookstores (ISBN no. 0940847132). Or, to order from the publisher, send \$14.00 plus \$3.00 s/h to: MND Publishing, Inc. * 573 Marina Road * Deatsville, AL 36022 Fax: 334.569.1984 * e-mail: orders@mndbooks.com

Quantity discounts available. We will ship your faxed or e-mailed orders with invoice.

No More Souters

President George W. Bush must avoid repeating his father's 'Souter' mistake (and seek to repeat his brilliant Clarence Thomas nomination) in filling Supreme Court vacancies.

MARK S. PULLIAM

B Y ALL accounts the presidential race is a dead heat, too close to call. Politics is often that way. In California, the GOP lost control of the state Assembly in 1996 by a few thousand votes (total) in swing districts. In elective politics, however, term limits guarantee change, and no election is more enduring than the next. Campaigns ebb and flow like the tides.

The judiciary is not nearly as transitory. Judges, once appointed, may serve for decades. Stanley Mosk, appointed by Governor Pat Brown (Jerry's father) in 1964, just celebrated his 36th anniversary on the California Supreme Court, a record. The greatest legacy a governor or president can leave is his judicial appointments, men and women who will continue to serve long after their sponsor has left office. Legacies work both ways: the lens of time magnifies good appointments as well as errors of judgment. History remembers that President Nixon appointed William Rehnquist to the U.S. Supreme Court and that Ronald Reagan appointed Antonin Scalia, and that George Bush appointed Clarence Thomas. These conservative jurists steadfastly shape the law despite succeeding elections and shifting political administrations. But history also recalls that Republican presidents appointed Earl Warren, William Brennan, Harry Blackmun, John Paul Stevens, and David Souter, five of the most liberal judges in modern times. The same phenomenon occurs at the state level. Gray Davis may wield a mighty veto pen, but he has yet to name a justice to the California Supreme Court. Governor Pete Wilson is all but forgotten as a political figure, but Justice Janice Brown, whom he appointed, is just hitting her stride.

The moral is that George W. Bush, if he is elected president, must take his judicial appointments seriously, especially those to the U.S. Supreme Court. He should strive to find the soundest, most resolute candidates available to fill vacancies on the bench, so that the voters who elected him will admire, rather than deride, his legacy. All too often, after being sworn in, judges start preening themselves for flattery from a different audience — the overwhelmingly liberal group of bar activists, law professors, and reporters who comprise the "legal establishment." Sometimes the "Greenhouse Effect" (Republican U.S. Supreme Court appointees' tendency to move left, courting praise from the New York Times) is difficult to predict, especially when an appointee has little or no track record of prior judicial rulings. But other times warning signs are apparent if one takes the time to look for them.

OR EXAMPLE, assume hypothetically that President George W. Bush (knock on wood) were to consider appointing California Chief Justice Ron George to the U.S. Supreme Court when a vacancy arose. Superficially, George would make a good candidate. He has nearly 30 years of judicial service, trial and appellate; a brief but illustrious background as a prosecutor; and a decade of experience on what used to be the nation's most prestigious and influential state court. Even though he is a

Mark S. Pulliam, California Political Review's legal issues correspondent, is an attorney in private practice in San Diego.