LIBERTARIA REVIEW

November 1979 Volume 8, No. 9



Libertarians **1n** onvention

by Marshall E. Schwartz A day by day, blow by blow report on the biggest Libertarian convention in history, and all the speeches and partying and politicking that led to the nomination of Ed Clark and David Koch to represent the Libertarian Party in the 1980 election. Page...8

The Editor: Roy A. Childs, Jr. Executive Editor: Jeff Riggenbach Senior Editor: Joan Kennedy Taylor Art Director: Melanie Price Assistant Art Director: Susan Sherry Shapiro Editorial Assistant: Victoria Varga Associate Editors: Walter Grinder, Leonard P.Liggio **Contributing Editors:** Murray N. Rothbard, Bruce R. Bartlett, Bill Birmingham, Milton Mueller, Leslee J. Newman, Marshall E. Schwartz, David Brudnoy, Peter R. Breggin, M.D.

The Libertarian Review (ISSN 0364-0302) is published monthly by Libertarian Review, Inc. Editorial and business offices, 1620 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94111. @ 1979 by Libertarian Review Inc. All rights reserved. Opinions expressed in bylined articles do not necessarily reflect the views of the editors or publisher. The Libertarian Review welcomes queries from authors but does not encourage submission of unsolicited manuscripts and assumes no responsibility for them unless accompanied by SASE.

FEATURES

Freeing Up the System: In Acceptance of the LP Nomination

by Ed Clark

In which the 1980 Libertarian Party Presidential nominee anticipates the issues and outcome of the campaign ahead. The text of Clark's Los Angeles acceptance speech.

Libertarianism and the Liberal Ethos

by Peter R. Breggin, M.D.

The Los Angeles convention speech in which a noted libertarian psychiatrist examines the challenge—and the opportunity-implicit in any effort to win liberals over to libertarianism.

DEPARTMENTS

\mathbf{a}	•	01	
(Ine	ening	Nh	Atc
$\mathbf{O}\mathbf{D}$	JUUIE	JU	U 13
I	0		

by Bill Birmingham

The Libertarian Editorials

Cuba and the Hawks

Books and the Arts

David Brudnoy on Francis Ford Coppola's Apocalypse Now

Susan Love Brown on Joan Didion's The White Album Leslee J. Newman on David Horowitz's Fight Back! and Don't Get Ripped Off

Tim Ozenne on Annelise Graebner Anderson's The **Business of Organized Crime**

Joan Kennedy Taylor on Nathaniel Branden's Art of Being

Subscriptions: Single copy, \$1.25; 12 issues (one year), \$15; two years, \$25; three years, \$35 (U.S. and Canada). Foreign subscribers add \$25 per year for postage and handling.

Address Change: Write new address, city, state and zip code on sheet of plain paper, attach mailing label from recent issue of LR, and send to Circulation Department, Libertarian Review, P.O. Box 28877, San Diego, CA 92128. Second class postage paid at San Francisco and additional offices.

26

30

6

38

LICENSED TO UNZ.ORG ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED



BILL BIRMINGHAM

OUR LAST IN column we stated that Edward Teller claimed the title of "The Only Victim of Three Mile Island" "after suffering a heart attack while debating Jane Fonda." Unfortunately we were in error. Teller made his claim in a lavish. double-page ad in the August 1, Wall Street Journal sponsored by Dresser Industries and said: "On May 7, a few weeks after the accident at Three Mile Island, I was in Washington. I was there to refute some of the propaganda that Ralph Nader, Iane Fonda and their kind are spewing to the news media in their attempt to frighten people away from nuclear power. I am 71 years old, and I was working 20 hours a day. The

strain was too much. The next day. I suffered a heart attack. You might say that I was the only one whose health was affected by that reactor near Harrisburg. No, that would be wrong. It was not the reactor. It was Jane Fonda. Reactors are not dangerous." (An opinion which might be disputed by the real victims of Three Mile Island: those expected to die from radiation induced cancer. Estimates of their number range from one (Joe Califano) to perhaps 300 to 2500 (Dr. Ernest Sternglass). Whether this makes reactors "dangerous," or more dangerous than other forms of power, is a matter of debate. You pays your money and the utilities make your choice for you.) Speaking of propaganda, neither Teller nor Dresser Industries, his sponsor, saw fit to mention Dresser's role at Harrisburg. "It was a Dresser valve that stuck open during the Three Mile Island accident," says NRC Commissioner Peter Bradford, "allowing the cooling water to escape the reactor cooling system and exposing the nuclear core." Dresser indignantly denies this, saying, "It is not known whether the valve failed to close or merely leaked excessively."

Talk about your news twisters: Andrew Kopkind, writing in the Columbia Journalism Review, has found a particularly flagrant example of media bias on PBS's Macneil/Lehrer Report. "A program last spring on the implications of the new international trade agreement focused on protectionismthe practice of erecting barriers to imports and subsidizing domestic manufacturing. The panelists were all free-traders ... The discussion assumed that free trade was indisputably good, and protectionism bad. Notable was the absence of any representative of the protectionist side, which has many adherents these days not only among businessmen threatened by foreign competition, but in sectors of the labor movement and the political left, where there is support for national economic planning, which would require some protectionist measures to help redirect market forces." Was Kopkind maybe expecting *public* television to give a forum to those reactionaries? After all, one can carry objectivity only so far.

Who among us does not delight in seeing the biter bit, and an evildoer trapped in the pit he had dug for another? In like manner it gave us great pleasure to read that François Mitterrand, chief of France's Socialist party, and several other party leaders are under indictment for illegal, private enterprise radio broadcasting . "Without wishing to dismantle the state broadcasting monopoly (a much-disputed system they would preserve), the Socialists have twice broken the law in recent months by organizing pirate radio broadcasts to denounce the government's alleged dominance of the air waves," says the London Economist. "In late June, at the government's behest, Paris police smashed their way into the party's old headquarters in the hope of discovering a pirate transmitter, scuffling with Socialist deputies and setting off a fierce political row." This is surely the most satisfying case of poetic justice since the IRS audited Richard Nixon; best of all, according to the Economist: "The Socialists were right in claiming that the authorities had been rougher with them than with scores of other 'free' radio entrepreneurs in recent years."

If you enjoyed Peter Collier's sympathetic article on libertarianism in New West magazine ("The Next American Revolution," August 27, 1979), check out his decidedly unsympathetic piece on Jane Fonda and Tom Hayden ("I Remember Fonda," New West, September 24, 1979), whom he dubs "the Mork and Mindy of California politics." As the title indicates, Collier knew Fonda and Hayden when they professed to be radicals; indeed, Fonda has credited Mr. Collier with "having introduced her to the Left." That was in early 1970, when she asked him for an introduction to the Indian militants who were occupying Alcatraz. "I remember being amazed," Collier remarks, "by the acuteness with which Fonda sized up the situation and immediately moved with incredible sureness to the center of the then-ruling clique ... When I left she was smoking dope with them and making insider's jokes about the Bureau of Indian Affairs and other of the red man's oppressors." That was also the period when Hayden

THE LIBERTARIAN REVIEW