## Lost in Multi-Media Hyperspace

## By Thomas W. Hazlett

The fate of the big, bad monopolist and the big, bad cable-TV law.

**W E'VE ALL BEEN READING ABOUT** the excitement in telecommunications markets, with mammoth mega-mergers, sprawling joint ventures, incredibly advanced high-tech products, and massive new regulatory laws (such as the Cable Act of 1992) dotting the front page and dominating the business section. Allow me to take you on a brief, two-stop tour of the public-policy landscape. Please keep your limbs on the bus and do not feed the regulators—the dazed and confused animals trying desperately to break into the main exhibits.

Landmark One: Bell Atlantic buys Tele-Communications Inc. for \$33 billion.

There was this big, nasty cable company, TCI. It made obscene profits, bought every cable system in sight, ignored the regulations, and pushed around customers, competitors-even city councilmen. When it tried to buy Paramount, a Hollywood studio that Viacom (a smaller cable firm) wanted, it was sued. Vicious allegations were made about TCI's flagrant attempt to monopolize the video business. Its chairman, John Malone, was personally charged with being ruthless in his campaign to control everything in the industry-creation of programs, satellite delivery to cable systems, multi-channel video distribution to consumers.

And then the gargantuan TCI was swallowed whole by Bell Atlantic. Now, picture this event on trial day in *Viacom v. TCI*: The evil John Malone walks into court and pleads nolo contendre...but begs for the court's understanding. "You see, Your Honor, we at TCI were once an evil monopolist, it is true. We were well on our way to buying up almost everything in cable and controlling what we didn't own through threats and intimidation, just as Viacom alleges. But, Your Honor, we have now been purchased by a competitive telephone company, and the problem is entirely solved." His lawyers rushed the bench with voluminous supporting briefs and documentation.

Mr. Malone's story would be a pure, fat-free slice of regulatory logic. By many standards (including my own), TCI was a monopolist. But by every antitrust standard of the federal government, Bell Atlantic is either competitive or regulated: Its market structure, still under court discretion, is entirely a creature of the 1984 AT&T divestiture, from which it and its six Baby Bell sisters were conceived and born. The idea that the huge, evil monopolist TCI (with over 10 million cable subscribers and pieces of CNN, TNT, BET, WTBS, Discovery, Lifetime, and maybe one of your family members who's been watching the tube a little too long) could be gobbled up in one bite by a company that the government has done its best to make competitive (either by market forces or by law) stands the entire antitrust framework on its ear. Don't you think, Your Honor?

Landmark Two: The Cable Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992.

In 1990, in articles in both *The New York Times* and *The Wall Street Journal*, I argued that cable re-regulation was a fraud, that rates could not be effectively controlled, except by competition, because any price limits would be circumvented. I pointed out the ease with which companies would "retier" in the short run, shifting channels from regulated to unregulated status. Over the long run, I said, the systems could simply respond to any price control by skimping on the program quality featured in basic cable packages. I was attacked by guardians of the public interest in the *New York*  *Times* letter section as an "ivory-tower, free-market ideologue."

UNREPENTANT, I REPEATED THESE "IVORYtower" predictions in many places, including an essay in the *Journal* in October 1992, right before Congress passed the 1992 re-regulation bill over Bush's veto. The promise: "A vote for the cable bill has the effect of giving a \$6-billion tax cut to Americans," Rep. Edward J. Markey (D-Mass.) argued on September 17, 1992. This would have produced a 30-percent reduction in your cable bill.

Since re-regulation kicked in on September 1, 1993, the argument in Congress and at the FCC has been over whether the average cable bill has gone up or down. Not whether the rate cut was 23.5 percent vs. 30 percent, but whether there was *any cut at all*. And no one in Washington is even paying attention to the quality-depreciation problem. My cable system dumped signals I value highly, C-SPAN2 and Sports Channel America, replacing them with a vast array of new home-shopping channels.

In response to the tectonic-plate shifts wrought by the new technologies, regulators are increasingly unable to do much more than kick up a little dust. Shocked by the Bell Atlantic-TCI merger, one congressional leader alertly pontificated, "We had better create a new paradigm." Create a new paradigm? When you can't even figure out the entirely predictable gimmickry of cable rate "regulation"? Leave the paradigms to the visionaries, boys, and tell me how I can get my C-SPAN2 back, and it better not involve an 800-number, a credit card, or any cubic zirconia.

Contributing Editor Thomas W. Hazlett teaches economics and public policy at the University of California, Davis. He is a former chief economist at the Federal Communications Commission.



While the rest of the media tells you what's wrong with Clinton, **The Washington Monthly** offers ground-breaking, practical solutions the new administration could use to solve some of the nation's thorniest problems. And we have fun doing it. With what *The New York Times* called "a critical wit and steel trap reporting," the **Monthly** explores the quirks, cons, and paralysis that too often underpin American politics—then we offer a sensible way out.

"... holds up a deadly accurate mirror to the Washington political culture, exposing its hypocrisies, stupidities, and unexpected triumphs." -*Chicago Tribune* 



€		
SUBSCRIBE NOW AND SAVE! YES! Enter my subscription for a full year (10 issues) to <b>The Washington Monthly</b> for only \$26.		
Address		
City	State	Zip
Payment enclosed	□ Bill me later	
Charge my 🛛 Visa		□ MasterCard
Credit card #	1001.	Exp
<b>The Washington Monthly</b> Box 587 Mount Morris, IL 61054		
For Canadian and other foreign subscriptions add \$7. Please remit U.S. funds. A3DO7		

## Introductory Offer

PREMIERE ISSUE

Press Missed the Story

by Carl Cannon

HEALTH CARE

<sup>by Fred</sup> Barnes

Newspapers! Magazines! TV! News is everywhere, with thousands of journalists racing to give it to you every second. But amid this information overload, which journalists can you trust to give you the whole story? Which ones should you beware of? The new FORBES *MediaCritic* will help you find answers to these questions. FORBES *MediaCritic* will steer you through today's fast-paced, news-filled world.

Coming to you four times a year, the new FORBES *MediaCritic* will explore how the media covers the most important topics of the day. It will examine major stories covered by the media and help you judge how well journalists do their job. It will also help you get a handle on all the information you receive every day.

To become media-savvy and media-smart, get FORBES *MediaCritic*. It's for readers who want the best that journalism has to offer.



With your paid subscription of \$29.95 you will in addition receive the FORBES *MediaGuide 500* (a \$19.95 value). *MediaGuide 500* gives you critical, nonpartisan reviews of the nation's top 500 journalists and how they covered the year's major stories.

For more info or to order your subscription, call 1-800-825-0061.