# The New Recordings 

COMPOSITION, PERFORMER, ALBUM NUMPER number of records
BEETHOVEN: S Y M -

PHONY \#5.
Paris Conservatory Orch., Schuricht.
London (LP) LLP 7
*RIMSKY-K O R S A -
KOV: "ANTAR" (SYMPHONIC POEM).
Cleveland Orch., Leinsdorf.
Columbia MM 834 (4)
LP: ML 2044 (10")
DON COSSACK CONCERT.
Don Jaroff.
Columbia MM 844 (4)
LP: ML 2070

ENGINEERING PERFORMANCE Recording Technique, Surface A ND CONTENT

Another excellent re- This Fifth is welcome: it cording, faultily trans- is of the nervous, tense, ferred to LP, at least swift sort but with good in early samples. control; tempi are strict Louder passages break -no studied ritards à la up, "crumble," may Stokowski-Koussevitzky. not track. Test your copy.
A beautiful recording from the hi-fi angle as well as the general sound; the LP tends to fuzziness in loudest parts-not quite up to 1948's "Scheherezade." Is this a better-thanever Cossack record-ing-or is my equipment just better than at the time of their last album?

If you are a bit ashamed of liking the ubiquitous "Scheherezade," try this much less familiar but similar work! Usual Rim-sky-skilfully orchestrated, smoothly superficial. More of the persuasive Russian vocal influence, heightened by fine recording. Underneath, except for two Tchaikovsky sacred numbers, this is hepped-up dinner music, no more.

## PERFORMANCE IN THE FLESH

TERMS such as "reproduction" and "fidelity" notwithstanding, the phonograph gives us a musical experience that often enough differs profoundly from that of a performance in the flesh. Nor is it always to recorded music's disfavor. A striking way to probe these differences for yourself is to hear an actual "live" performance of music long and intimately known to you only in the recorded form. In such a situation the most urexpected sensations may come to the surface, a thousand and one details of contrast jump out. Your understanding of a work is altered and usually deepened by such an event and this column recommends a concert ticket as the finest way to increase enjoyment of recorded music. Two personal examples, in recent days, bring this to mind.

1. For years I've owned an ancient recording of a $G$ minor harpsichord concerto of Bach. I once played it aften because of the music, though the performance was mediocre-I had never heard it elsewhere. A day or so ago Szymon Goldberg played in concert an A minor Bach violin concerto-the first notes revealed it as an alternative version of the $G$ minor concerto of my old records, and here was my first "live" performance. Though I had not played the recording for a half dozen years, the first notes brought back instantly its every nuance-indeed, I could hear the inept and unrhythmic harpsichordist as a kind of attending
ghost to the unfolding performance before me! The contrast with the accurate Goldberg playing became at one point so vivid that I laughed out loud, to my neighbors' utter surprise.
2. Elucidation of some perplexing music came in another performance, the De Falla harpsichord concerto, which I had known only in recordings (Mercury D-5; Columbia X-9). In that form the concerto had always seemed gross, ugly, strained in expression; I could not fathom it. Now I can guess the reason.
The harpsichord is a small-voiced instrument paradoxically massive in its proper intimate surroundings. Older harpsichord music was written with that massiveness in mind but the modern concert hall, too large, reduces it to a faint tinny echo. Luckily, on records the harpsichord regains the solidity it so lacks in concert and so harpsichord recordings are actually more faithful "reproductions" of the original intentions than the "live" performance itself.
But De Falla is a modern. It struck me suddenly, hearing his concerto on the stage, that he had written specifically for the modern, not the ancient circumstance, that he actually intended that small, far-away, silvery sound we hear in the concert hall today-so false to Bach, Scarlatti, Haydn. His instrumentation is obviously patterned on it and it is astonishingly lovely.
-Edward Tatnall Canby.

RICHARD McLAUGHLIN [1] discovered in "Alexander's Feast" a "tantalizing and even captivating riddle about beauty and wisdom and the follies of their pursuants . . . A brilliant tour de force on the classic themes of love and death and immortality."

ORVILLE PRESCOTT [2] was "irritated and baffled often, but was always interested and often highly amused . . . Part satire, part fantasy and part outrageous buffoonery, this farrago of wit, erudition and nonsense is brilliant in a thoroughly perverse and decadent fashion... As cryptic as the oracle at Delphi."

THE NEW YORKER found "Mr. Kelly's prose, like his cast of characters, pithy, erudite, and coolly precise."

And RICHARD MATCH, [3] who thinks the author has played a private joke on the book reviewers, hopes "one of Mr. Kelly's friends will undertake to produce a "key" in the manner of the well-known keys to 'Finnegans Wake'."

1. Saturday Review of Literature
2. New York Times
3. New York Herald Tribune
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$\$ 3.00$ at all bookstores
HARCOURT, BRACE AND COMPANY

## DOUBLE-CROSTICNo. 816

Reg. U. S. Patent Office
By Elizabeth S. Kingsley


Solution of last week's Double-Crustic will be found on page 7 of this issue.

