is a definitive list of hotels and guest houses and she tells you which is likely to be Very English, which may be very quiet, and which is sure to be pure fun. You'll find a complete directory of where to golf, sail, fish, or swallow a rum fizzle. There is a chapter, too, on Bermuda's FFB's (First Families of Bermuda) sometimes known as Bermuda's Forty Thieves. Illustrated with black and white and color photographs, all with pleasant, unstylized captions.

ALL THIS IS LOUISIANA, by Frances Parkinson Keyes. Harper. \$5. Mrs. Keyes, a lady known as a novelist for some thirty-odd years, has produced an entirely new kind of travel book. There is hardly a page and never two facing pages without a large, usually animated photograph. The text deals directly with the pictures and I'm not really sure whether the writing can be considered as a series of extended captions or whether the photographs are there to illustrate the writing. Anyway, hitting the reader simultaneously through both media, Mrs. Keyes manages to take you deep inside Louisiana to show you baptizings, buggies, and burials. Her discussion of New Orleans, as an example, which happens to be the country's second largest port, is illustrated with a photo of an elephant being hauled aboard ship. The port also deals, however, with shrimp, sulphur, grain, oysters, and memories of Huey Long. Elsewhere Mrs. Keyes takes up such local incidentals as frog-jumping contests, iced orange wine, leprosy, and the strawberries that bloom in the spring.

YOUR HOLIDAY IN IRELAND, by Gordon Cooper and Ernest Welsman. Medill McBride. \$2.50. Americans may have some difficulty deciding what the authors mean when they say, "Be sure to bring your mackintosh," but despite its British accent your holiday in Ireland should be enhanced with this book. You are advised, for instance, to travel by horse-caravan, a device which I dare say nary a travel agent knows about. Although hikers can be happy in Ireland they ought to know that eleven Irish miles equal fourteen English miles, and distances are often measured in the time it takes a farmer to travel there in his donkey cart. Irish food is like English food, the authors say, except that there is more of it, and the Irish have added such things of their own as soda bread, apple fudge, boiled bacon and cabbage, and poteen-an illegal brew made of fermented barley. As the Irishman said, "If the poteen don't kill me, I'll live till I die." Illustrated with standard postcard views. -H. S.

The New Recordings COMPOSITION, PERFORMER, Recording Technique, Surface PERFORMANCE ALBUM NUMBER. AND CONTENT ENGINEERING NUMBER OF RECORDS BACH ANNIVERSARY BACH: SEVEN SONA-Top - quality wide - range For my money, this is the TAS FOR FLUTE AND recording supplements the finest Bach playing of the HARPSICHORD. Fernand fine playing. Big, spacious sort I've heard. Three Caratge, flute; Marcelle liveness, the two instrueach, sonatas for harps. Charbonnier, harps. ments close, well balanced. obbligato (written out) Polydor-Vox LP: PL 6160 (Slight quavering hiss in and for flute and continuo flute is evidently actual (2)-plus an odd violin sonata breath sound.) Add this played on flute. Extraordito your Schneider-Kirkpatnary flute playing; tasterick violin sonata seriesful, musical keyboard renow on three LPs. alization. BACH'S ROYAL IN-A monumental and valuable recording project, no doubt STRUMENT (BACH ORto be continued. The new Symphony Hall organ in Boston combines modern and Baroque stops; an "all-purpose" instrument, rather overlarge for Bach. These records show GAN WORKS). E. Power Biggs. Vol. I: Toc. Adagio & Fugue in C; Schubler the usual meticulously accurate, painstaking Biggs per-Chorale preludes. Vol. II: Trio Sonatas; "Great" formance; registration on this organ is, I'd say, consid-Trio Sonatas; erably more interesting than in previous Biggs releases. Preludes & Fugues in B But there is still that indefinable, persistent monotony, mi., G. Columbia LP ml unmusicality. Is it in the rigid, unbending tempi, the 4284, 4285.* unplastic rhythmic phrasing? Distressing in such a laud-(Available separately) able enterprise as this. BACH: MOTET, "SIN-Good-quality recording, a bit scratchy. (From older discs?) The unaccompa-A most earnest, well-GET DEM HERRN"; "NUN IST DAS HEIL" pitched version of this difficult a capella motet, (CANTATA #50); "DU HIRTE ISRAEL, HORE" somewhat nervous in nied double - chorus motet some what nervous in phrasing, detachments, etc. "Nun est," with orch., is big, pompous Bach; "Du Hirte" is the familiar "Jesu, Joy," in original. has nice separation of the (CANTATA \$104). Ber-lin State Academy Choir two groups. and Orch. Capitol LP: L-8077 (10")* BACH: MOTETS: "LO-Top-rating choral record-ing, with fine presence and Two more of the unac-BET DEN HERRN," companied motets. This "KOMM, JESU, KOMM"; THREE CHORALES. liveness. Harpsichord con-tinuo in "Lobet" is authentypical U.S.-trained group is accurate but heavytoned; pitch ensemble is dull; the rich voices are Schola Cantorum, Hugh tic-but chorus gets out of tune with it; it's too close. Ross. Columbia LP: ml 2102 more forward than the mu-(10")* sical sense. Quasi-success. BACH: COFFEE CAN-TATA. Uta Graf, sop.; Earl Rogers, ten., Ralph Herbert, bar. Allegro Evidently one of the cur-The humorous fatherrent living-room-recorded daughter-narrator skit (retape jobs — close-to, with an unpleasant, hard room sult of legal prohibition of coffee!) is quite well done. sound in background. Fine Chamber Society. Baritone is best; tenor and Allegro LP; AL 53. quality makes this unimsoprano nervous. Small instr. group a bit rough. portant. THE LIGHT TOUCH DELIBES: "COPPELIA" I'd class these better in London continues to re-do BALLET MUSIC; "SYL-VIA" BALLET MUSIC. over-all sound quality than items which were standard the 78 rpm ffrr sensations hi-fi show pieces in 78 rpm Paris Conservatory Orch. of a few years back which ffrr only a few years back. they replace; some picked passages may be better in The Delibes is beautifully Désormières. London LP: LPS 183, 184 played, as is the Bizet; gorgeous recording makes the 78 version. Bizet is less live, round than the (10'')these best bets in the cate-BIZET: "CARMEN" SUITE; "L'ARLESIgory for entertainment, hi-fi style. Delibes recordings. Silent SUITE; "L'ARLESI-ENNE" SUITE. London surfaces help immensely, as does LP continuity. Philharmonic, Van Beinum. London LP: LLP 179 Ultra-wide-range, close-up Poulenc up to his usual LE BAL POULENC: (CANTATE tape recording; rather dry, tongue-in-cheek (but one MASQUÉ PROFANE). Warren Galwithout much livenessis never sure . . .) smartbut it suits the music. The ness; this work has more jour, baritone; chamber stuffing than most. Excellent difficult voice part is nicegroup dir., Edvard Fendly coped with. singing of surrealistlike ler. verses; deliciously deca-Esoteric LP: ES 2000 (10") dent. -Edward Tatnall Canby. *Also other speeds.

JUNE 17, 1950

Publishers' Corner

THOSE CHIMERICAL RIGHTS, PART 2

AST MONTH [SRL May 13] I discussed the extra rights income obtained by publishers, pointing out how publishers go about getting additional revenue (following publication) from book clubs, pocket books, magazines, newspapers, and hundreds of other sources. Also reviewed was William Miller's thesis [SRL Oct. 29, 1949] that publishers' bookkeeping was at fault in their plaint that tradebook selling had not reached a profitable basis; that some figure-chicanery lay at the root of present price structures of books and in the way they are sold. Miller's panacea, you may remember, was that publishers ought always to assume they would get the extra income; therefore they ought to charge some part of the costs of the original publishing of a book against the possibility of the future rights.

And last month, too, I promised that I'd spend enough time in publishers' offices and with my own cost assemblies to put the rights income into its fair place in the scene. Here is the result of a lot of perspiration to get at the facts.

First of all, how much opportunity is there for big rights income? The best pickings would seem to be in the book clubs and reprint houses. The Book-of-the-Month Club uses about eighteen major titles a year, plus six dividend or premium books, a total of twenty-four. The Literary Guild uses twelve novels and about six premium books, a total of eighteen. The major Doubleday book-club chain (Family, Home, etc.) uses somewhere around fifteen titles a year. The Fiction Book Club uses twelve titles a year. The People's Book Club also uses twelve titles. At least ten books which are not book-club choices are sold every year to Hollywood with

publisher participation. The Book Find Club uses twelve books a year. The Reader's Digest condenses one book or more a month and as many as half of these, say ten, are not bookclub selections. Omnibook uses thirty-six ti-

tles a year, of which at least fifteen are not book-club selections. Pocket Books uses four titles a month, of which at least two are bought from publishers. All other reprint houses undoubtedly account for no more than sixty additional titles in the course of a year.

This adds up to about 200 titles a year. Books available for these rights are somewhere between 5,000 and 6,000 a year. If 5,000 is the correct figure, then it is pretty obvious that about one book in twenty-five makes its publisher \$1,000 or better in special rights money.

The rest of the rights income has to be in much, much smaller pieces except for the occasional bonanza that comes from a Hollywood and radio adoption.

In order to get a reasonable presentation of what is occurring today I put together a few facts from the figures of some large publishers and some of average size. Here is one with a net volume of more than two million dollars last year. Rights income amounted to about \$33,000 (compared to about \$60,000 in the year preceding). Forty-three per cent of the books actually produced some kind of extra income. When you break this down you find that 60 per cent of the books that enjoyed rights income got less than \$500. Another large trade-book publisher, with net sales last year just short of two million dollars, hit a jackpot. Rights income was about \$200,000-which was over twice as much as in the previous year. Forty per cent of the books in this case got some kind of special income. But here, too. 70 per cent of the books that got rights received less than \$500 per book. In still another - perhaps consistently the largest producer of rights in the country-the net sales income is a little more than two million dollars. Rights income is unusual. It ran close to \$200,000-10 per cent of sales. In this one 65 per cent of the books had income from rights. Still the income was below \$25 on 30 per cent

of the books.

Let's go over now to the smaller publishers. In one, gross income in trade books was \$540,-000. Rights income was about 6 per cent of sales. Out of thirty books only five earned more than \$100 in rights

in 1949. Another company of about the same size had forty-five titles in 1949, of which only fifteen produced income from any special sales. Another had 120 trade books over the three years. Thirty had rights income of more than \$25. Eighty-eight had no rights income or less than \$25. In another, producing fifty books a year, the pickup on rights income is about \$5,000 a year. In another, a fifteen-year record shows rights, income of over \$25 per book on only 20 per cent of the books. In one house prominently identified with the sale of rights, twenty out of eighty books published got some extra money in special rights of more than \$250 per book due in a large part to book-club selections, movie rights, or magazine money.

To sum up these several ledgers, we can lay it down as a rule that only four books out of ten get any kind of rights. Moreover, in two out of ten the income is far less than \$500 per book (in close to half the cases where some rights are received it is probably under \$100 per book). That is an insignificant portion of the sales or the cost of the book.

Take a novel priced at only \$3 and assume we can print and market 10,-000 copies. Net sales, allowing a 40per-cent-discount to the book store, give the publisher \$18,000. His expenses will probably break down to something like this:

Getting ready, printing, paper and production costs of the book and jacket—at least \$ 5,000 Advertising, say at 10 per cent of sales at list price 3,000 Royalty to author—at least 4,000 Selling, shipping, warehousing and overhead — it probably runs 30 per cent of sales today 5,400

\$17,400

If he is lucky, the publisher earns \$600 and two books in ten will give him \$25 to \$500 in rights. Two others in ten will earn more—maybe way over \$10,000. Eventually the total rights income figures to about 4 per cent of total present-day sales. That would be \$720 if allocated to this book. How can such a sum have any influence on plans for a particular book or for publishing all trade books?

It is true that a well-established publisher, particularly one with a book back list, can assume some income from rights year after year. But you cannot run a business on the possibility of extra income. Books themselves (said one publisher to me) are speculative enough without compounding the felony by regularly budgeting for the special rights income—whether or not it comes.

Save for prominent detective or Western story writers (Erle Stanley Gardner, Ellery Queen, etc.) I know no publisher who takes a book with any assurance of a market in book

The Saturday Review

BOOM Stephen

PRODUCED 2005 BY UNZ.ORG ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED