The novel The Camp of the Saints by Jean Raspail (Paris: Editions Robert Laffont, 1973) has stirred new controversy recently having been cited in several stories in U.S. magazines and newspapers. To help our readers understand the author's perspective, THE SOCIAL CONTRACT asked advisory board member Gerda Bikales to translate from the French his preface to the third edition, 1985.

The Camp of the Saints

By Jean Raspail

Published for the first time in 1973, *Camp of the Saints* is a novel that anticipates a situation which seems plausible today and foresees a threat that no longer seems unbelievable to anyone: it describes the peaceful invasion of France, and then of the West, by a third world burgeoned into multitudes. At all levels — global consciousness, governments, societies, and especially every person within himself — the question is asked belatedly: what's to be done?

What's to be done, since no one would wish to renounce his own human dignity by acquiescing to racism? What's to be done since, simultaneously, all persons and all nations have the sacred right to preserve their differences and identities, in the name of their own future and their own past?

Our world was shaped within an extraordinary variety of cultures and races, that could only develop to their ultimate and singular perfection through a necessary segregation. The confrontations that flow (and have always flowed) from this, are not racist, nor even racial. They are simply part of the permanent flow of opposing forces that shape the history of the world. The weak fade and disappear, the strong multiply and triumph.

For example, since the time of the Crusades and the great land and sea discoveries, and up to the colonial period and its last-ditch battles, Western expan-sionism responded to diverse motivations ethical, political, or economic — but racism had no part and played no role in it, except perhaps in the soul of evil people. The relative strength of forces was in our favor, that's all. That these were applied most often at the expense of other races — though some were thereby saved from their state of mortal torpor — was merely a consequence of our appetite for conquest and was not driven by or a cover for ideology. Now that the relationship between the forces has been diametrically reversed, and our ancient West — tragically now in a minority status on this earth — retreats behind its dismantled fortifications while it already loses the battles on its own soil, it begins to behold, in astonishment, the dull roar of the huge tide that threatens to engulf it. One must remember the saying on ancient solar calendars: "It is later than you think..." The above reference did not come from my pen. It was written by Thierry Maulnier, in connection with *Camp of the Saints*, as it happens. Forgive me for citing yet another, by Professor Jeffrey Hart of Dartmouth, a literary historian and a famous American columnist: "Raspail is not writing about race, he is writing about civilization..."

"...my true character came through in this book, precisely in the coarse humor found in it, derisory humor, the comical under the tragic, a certain amount of clowning as an antidote to the apocalypse."

After all, *Camp of the Saints* is a symbolic book, a sort of prophecy, dramatized rather brutally by means of shipboards, at the rhythm of inspiration. For if any book came to me through inspiration, I confess that it was precisely this one. Where the devil would I otherwise have drawn the courage to write it? I came out of these eighteen months of work unrecognizable, judging by the photograph on the back of the jacket of the first edition in 1973: my face exhausted, older by ten years than my age today, and with the look of someone tormented by too many visions. And yet, my true character came through in this book, precisely in the coarse humor found in it, derisory humor, the comical under the tragic, a certain amount of clowning as an antidote to

The Social Contract

the apocalypse. I have always maintained that in spite of its subject matter *Camp of the Saints* is not a sad book and I am grateful to some, notably to Jean Dutour, who have understood that exactly: "That West of ours having become a buffoon, its final tragedy could well be a joke. That is why this terrible book is basically so funny..."

But, to go back to the action in Camp of the Saints — if it is a symbol, it doesn't arise from any utopia; it no longer arises from any utopia. If it is a prophecy, we live its beginnings today. Simply, in Camp of the Saints, it is treated as a classic tragedy, according to the literary principles of unity of time, place and action: everything takes place within three days along the shores of Southern France, and it is there that the destiny of white people is sealed. Though the action was then already well developed along the lines described in Camp of the Saints (boat people, the radicalization of the North African community and of other foreign groups in France, the strong psychological impact of human rights organizations, the inflamed evangelism of the religious leadership, a hypocritical purity of consciences, refusal to look the truth in the face, etc.) in actuality the unraveling will not take place in three days but, almost certainly, after many convulsions, during the first decades of the third millennium, barely the time of one or two generations. When one knows what constitutes a generation in our old European lands — a rump-generation in the image of a rump-family and a rump-nation — the heart constricts in anticipation, and is overwhelmed by discouragement. It's enough to go back to the scary demographic predictions for the next thirty years, and those I will cite are the most favorable ones: encircled by seven billion people, only seven hundred million of them white, hardly a third of them in our little Europe, and those no longer in bloom but quite old. They face a vanguard of four hundred million North Africans and Muslims, fifty percent of them less than twenty years old, those on the opposite shores of the Mediterranean arriving ahead of the rest of the world! Can one imagine for a second, in the name of whatever ostrich-like blindness, that such a disequilibrium can endure?

At this juncture, the moment has arrived to explain why, in *Camp of the Saints*, it is human masses coming from the far-away Ganges rather than the shores of the Mediterranean that overwhelm the South of France. There are several reasons for this. One pertains to prudence on my part, and especially to my refusal to enter the false debate about racism and anti-racism in French daily life, as well as my revulsion at describing the racial tensions already discernible (but for the moment not fit for discussion) for fear of exacerbating them. To be sure, a mighty vanguard is already here, and expresses its intention to stay even as it refuses to assimilate; in twenty years they will make up thirty percent, strongly motivated foreigners, in the bosom of a people that once was French. It's a sign, but it is only one sign. One could stop there. One could even engage in some skirmishes, all the while ignoring, or pretending to ignore that the real danger is not only here, that it is elsewhere, that it is yet to come, and that by its very size it will be of a different order. For I am convinced that at the global level things will unleash as at a billiard game, where the balls start moving one after the other following an initial shove, which can start up in this or that immense reservoir of misery and multitudes, such as the one over there, alongside the Ganges. It will probably not happen as I have described it, for the Camp of the Saints is only a parable, but in the end the result will not be any different, though perhaps in a form more diffused and therefore seemingly more tolerable. The Roman empire did not die any differently, though, it's true, more slowly, whereas this time we can expect a more sudden conflagration. It is said that history does not repeat itself. That's very foolish. The history of our planet is made up of successive voids and of the ruins that others have strewn about as they each had their turn, and that some have at times regenerated.

"It will probably not happen as I have described it, for The Camp of the Saints is only a parable, but in the end the result will not be any different..."

For the West is empty, even if it has not yet become really aware of it. An extraordinarily inventive civilization, surely the only one capable of meeting the challenges of the third millennium, the West has no soul left. At every level — nations,

races, cultures, as well as individuals — it is always the soul that wins the decisive battles. It is only the soul that forms the weave of gold and brass from which the shields that save the strong are fashioned. I can hardly discern any soul in us. Looking, for example, at my own country, France, I often get the impression, as in a bad dream dreamt wide-awake, that many Frenchmen of true lineage are no longer anything but hermit-clams that live in shells abandoned by the representatives of a species, now disappeared, that was known as "French" and which did not forecast, through some unknown genetic mystery, the one that at century's end has wrapped itself in this name. They are content to just endure. Mechanically, they ensure their survival from week to week, ever more feebly. Under the flag of an illusory internal solidarity and security, they are no longer in solidarity with anything, or even cognizant of anything that would constitute the essential commonalities of a people. In the area of the practical and materialistic, which alone can still light a spark of interest in their eyes, they form a nation of petty bourgeois which, in the name of the riches it inherited and is less and less deserving of, rewards itself — and continues to reward itself in the middle of crisis - with millions of domestic servants: immigrants. Ah! How they will shudder! The domestics have innumerable relatives on this side and beyond the seas, a single starving family that populates all the earth. A global Spartacus... To cite but one example from hundreds, the population of Nigeria, in Africa, has close to seventy million inhabitants which it is incapable of feeding even while it spends more than fifty percent of its oil income to buy food. At the dawn of the third millennium, there will be a hundred million Nigerians and the oil will be gone.

But the petty bourgeois, deaf and blind, continues to play the buffoon without knowing it. Still miraculously comfortable in his lush fields, he cries out while glancing toward his nearest neighbor: "Make the rich pay!" Does he know, does he finally know that it is he who is the rich guy, and that the cry for justice, that cry of all revolutions, projected by millions of voices, is rising soon against him, and only against him. That's the whole theme of *Camp of the Saints*.

So, what to do?

I am a novelist. I have no theory, no system nor ideology to propose or defend. It just seems to me that we are facing a unique alternative: either learn the resigned courage of being poor or find again the inflexible courage to be rich. In both cases, so-called Christian charity will prove itself powerless. The times will be cruel.

--- J. R.

Arthur F. Corwin, In Memoriam

Arthur Corwin, an immigration researcher who specialized in Mexican migration, "irredentist" movements, and economics, died on Oct. 28, 1993 in Santa Fe, New Mexico. He was 69.

A graduate of Trinity College, Dublin, the University of the Americas, and the University of Chicago (Ph.D.), Dr. Corwin served as an immigration specialist for Republican members of the House Judiciary Committee, and held faculty appointments teaching history and social sciences at the universities of Connecticut, Kentucky, California at Davis, Texas, Puerto Rico, and Nuevo Leon (Mexico).

His published works include: Contemporary Mexican Attitudes Toward Population, Poverty, and Public Opinion; Immigrants — and Immigrants: Perspectives on Mexican Labor Migration to the United States; and Illegal Immigration: Job Displacement & Social Costs. A frequent contributor to journals of scholarship and opinion, including THE SOCIAL CONTRACT, Dr. Corwin was working with Donald Huddle on a book dealing with the economic aspects of immigration at the time of his death.

The Social Contract

This article appeared in the December 24, 1992 issue of La Presse, published in Montreal. The original heading was "Immigration Must Be Stopped" with the sub-head: "According to a study by the French Language Council, this measure would prevent the decline of the French language in Quebec." It was translated from the French by Gerda Bikales, a member of the Editorial Advisory Board of THE SOCIAL CONTRACT.

Immigration to French Canada

By Francois Berger

A reduction in immigration is practically the only measure that can prevent the decline of the French language in Quebec, according to a study by the French Language Council.

The study of demographic and language trends, of which *La Presse* has obtained a copy, is part of a series of inquiries presented last month to the Council at a private seminar on issue related to Quebec's language policies. The Council must soon make recommendations to the Quebec government in connection with the revisions of its language policies.

Immigration to Quebec "exerts a major but negative impact on the percentage of Frenchspeakers" whose decline is "inevitable" by the turn of the century, according to Marc Termote, the author of the study, a researcher at the National Institute of Scientific Research (NISR), affiliated with the University of Quebec.

Mr. Termote, who is himself of foreign origin, advocates a "more restrictive" immigration policy so that French-speakers don't end up as a minority on the island of Montreal in a little over fifty years. In 2046, only 47 percent of Montreal and Laval residents will have French as their everyday language (72 percent in all of Quebec) if immigration is maintained at present levels. Montreal is the preferred destination for nine out of ten immigrants.

Immigrants are more inclined to use English rather than French, according to documentation which also confirms that *allophones* (those speaking neither French nor English) disproportionately tend to keep their mother tongue. Two-thirds do so in Quebec (less than a third do so in the rest of Canada.)

In just two generations (in the year 2046), Montreal will have a multiethnic face similar to the urban masses in Toronto, Los Angeles, or Marseille, explained Mr. Termote in an interview yesterday at *La Presse*. By 2001, *allophones* who keep their native tongue as their everyday language will represent 21 percent of the population of Montreal and Laval islands, and a third of the population by 2046.

Soon after the turn of the century, there will be more *allophones* than English-speakers in Montreal. Currently, *allophones* are 13 percent of the population of Montreal and Laval. English-speakers are 24 percent of the region's population, but they leave Quebec for other Canadian provinces in the highest numbers.

According to the study, not only will the proportion of French-speakers decline "inevitably" in Quebec, and particularly in Montreal, but their actual numbers will also decline. Mr. Termote's statistical data show that, for the first time, the fertility of French-speakers is the lowest of all linguistic groups. Fertility rates for French-speakers in the Montreal region have even gone down below that of English-speakers. It is the *allophones* who are reproducing most rapidly.

"...the government is left only with immigration to tinker with as a way to stop the decline of the French language in Quebec."

As French-speakers have very low fertility, and it would be politically unthinkable to engage in pronatalist policies addressed only to them, the government is left only with immigration to tinker with as a way to stop the decline of the French language in Quebec.

Professor Termote's study shows that immigrants, three-quarters of them speaking neither French nor English at their arrival in Quebec, favor the use of English over French (3 times as much).