

Collaborating with a group of Father Coughlin's fellow priests, the author of "*An Answer to Father Coughlin's Critics*," hereby challenges and refutes the malicious slanders hurled against the Radio Priest by the official Jewish book, entitled "*Father Coughlin, His 'Facts' and Arguments*."

This slanderous book, which has been given wide circulation, was published jointly by the American Jewish Committee, the B'nai B'rith, the American Jewish Congress and the Jewish Labor Committee (the chief components of the General Jewish Council). Thus the book was the official reply of American Jewry to Father Coughlin's invitation that religious Jews join Christians against Communism and all persecution.

SOCIAL JUSTICE herewith presents Chapters 2 and 3 of Mr. Marcin's series. Further chapters of this "*Answer to Father Coughlin's Critics*" will appear next week.

CHAPTER TWO

Official Jewry Fails to Join Anti-Communist Campaign

FATHER CHARLES COUGHLIN enunciated the fundamental thesis in his various radio addresses that atheistic and irreligious Jews were responsible, along with the same type of Gentiles, for the massacre of millions of Christians in Russia, Spain, Mexico, Germany and Hungary in carrying out their Communist coups. This thesis, however, was merely the prelude to an invitation for all religious and faithful Jews to repudiate and to protest the murder of millions of Christians by their atheistic and irreligious brethren; just as millions of faithful and believing Christians had joined with world Jewry in condemning and in criticizing the persecution of the Jews in Germany by the apostate and renegade Gentile leaders in Germany.

Father Coughlin extended this invitation to religious Jews, only after he himself had emphatically denounced the persecution of Jews in Germany.

Here, then, is the fact of paramount importance: On that occasion Father Coughlin joined his voice with the voices of thousands of other Christians who deprecated the actions of their irreligious Gentile brethren responsible for the persecution of Jews in Germany.

Official Reply Is

Attack on Father Coughlin

Instead of accepting the invitation to condemn Communism which Father Coughlin extended, the Jewish leaders lashingly attacked the Radio Priest by calling him a liar, a Nazi agent, a falsifier of facts, a dangerous demagogue, a violent anti-Semite, a Hitler hireling, a sadist, etc. In fact, they tried to destroy every argument in his address which treated directly or indirectly of the Jewish influence and dominance in Communism. They called to their aid such authoritative witnesses as Strauss of Kuhn, Loeb and Co., Professor Jan Smertenko, director of the Non-Sectarian Anti-Nazi League, Alexander Kerensky, former provisional president of Russia, Leon Trotsky, Soviet exile in Mexico and others who were interested in disclaiming the guilt of Jews in Communist persecution and in attempting to disprove the facts and discolored the arguments advanced by Father Coughlin.

But this obvious fact still remained, namely: The officialdom of Jewry did not accept the invitation proffered by Father Coughlin. They steadfastly refrained from joining, as a group, with Christians in protesting the Communist massacre of millions of Christians throughout the world.

While official Jewry asks the world to co-operate in protesting the persecution of Germany's hundreds of thousands of Jews, its neglect to accept an invitation to join with Christians in protesting the murder of thousands of Christians is alarming and almost sinister.

What interpretation does this silence invite? Is it not credible that, to distract the attention of the Christian world from their ominous silence in opposing Communism, their hundred and one distracting and sophistic defamations were employed to disguise their indirect support of a world revolutionary policy that is anti-Christian and anti-God?

Gentile Speakers

Front for Jews

Nor were the Jewish leaders apt in securing Gentile mouthpieces to launch their barrage of defamation, for everyone recognized their spokesmen were used to veil more completely the failure of Jewish leaders to co-operate with Christians in protesting mass murder.

To say the least, it was surprising to see such persons as Rev. William Kernan, Rt. Rev. John A. Ryan, Dorothy Thompson, Westbrook Pegler and a multitude of lesser luminaries unreasonably espouse the cause of outraged Jewry and slanderously attack the Radio Priest of Royal Oak. Not one of them stressed the invitation tendered by Father Coughlin; all of them subdued it as they hastened to heap insult upon the one who was courageous enough to formulate it.

The fact still remains that while all Christians joined wholeheartedly in protesting the oppression of Jews in Germany under the Nazi regime, official Jewry did not join with the Christians in protesting the wanton murder and savage massacre of millions of Christians by apostate Jewish and Gentile commissars and agents.

The Christians of America have waited in vain for American Jewry to accept that invitation. Logically, therefore, they have interpreted this particular Jewish slander and vilification of a Catholic clergyman as a refusal to accept the invitation he proffered. No word, no oration, no pamphlet, no protest can liquidate that conclusion. Action and action alone is the only social chemical that can dissolve that hard fact.

CHAPTER THREE

Father Coughlin Quoted 'The American Hebrew' Correctly

WHEN WILL AMERICAN JEWRY courageously repudiate the indefensible actions of atheistic Jewish and Gentile Communists who were and are responsible for the crimson

an ans FATHER COUG

By BEN

slaughter of millions of Christians throughout the world?

When?

Until that day arrives the silence of official American Jewry will remain as an eloquent supporter of Communism and will be responsible for lending moral aid to the growth of Bolshevism in our midst.

Moreover, the General Jewish Council in their booklet, "*Father Coughlin — His 'Facts' and Arguments*" unsuccessfully attempted to disavow Jewish participation with Communists by maintaining that Father Coughlin misquoted *The American Hebrew* magazine of September 10, 1920.

As a matter of fact Father Coughlin did not misquote *The American Hebrew* as contended by the authors of "*Father Coughlin—His 'Facts' and Arguments*." And, as a second matter of fact, *The American Hebrew* magazine article in question actually boasts of the part played by Jewry in furthering Communism. Let us see:

First, the General Jewish Council book maintained that the Radio Priest inserted the phrase "the Russian-Jewish revolution" in the article "Jews in World Reconstruction" written by Svetozar Tonjorov. The pertinent excerpt reads in Father Coughlin's official, printed text as follows: "The achievement (the Russian-Jewish revolution) destined to figure in history as the overshadowing result of the World War, was largely the outcome of Jewish thinking, of Jewish discontent, of Jewish effort to reconstruct." More on this point will follow.

Second, the authors of the General Jewish Council book argue that Father Coughlin gave the above quotation a false meaning in that he made it refer to the second Russian revolution of October, 1917—the Bolshevik revolution — whereas, so the Council book indicates, it referred to the spring revolution under Kerensky in 1917.

Critics Quibble

Over Which 'Revolution'

Now let us analyze these two charges, or contentions:

Anyone who reads the entire Tonjorov article absolutely recognizes that the words with which Father Coughlin introduced the excerpt referred to nothing else in this wide world but the Russian-Jewish revolution which is the second revolution in the autumn of 1917.

In the following paragraphs opportunity will be presented to read the text. Then ask yourself the meaning of the words, "The achievement." Only one meaning can be applied and that meaning is gleaned from what was contained in the paragraphs of *The American Hebrew* magazine preceding the one Father Coughlin quoted in his broadcast speech.

That the General Jewish Council contention is a slander is evident when one reads the printed text of Father Coughlin's speech in which

Among Whom Jewish

he employed the quotation in question. In all the printed records of the Radio Priest's address wherein this quotation was used the phrase "the Russian-Jewish revolution" is written in parentheses and thus is clearly designated as an editorial note. No logician, having read *The American Hebrew* article, would hesitate to affirm that Father Coughlin was perfectly scientific in using the reference which he employed.

Let's Look at

Disputed Article

Or am I proceeding too quickly? Be patient; for in a paragraph or two below I am reproducing the major portion of *The American Hebrew* article so that every reader can read and weep—weep for the vacuous attempt on the part of the unknown author who swashbuckled in behalf of the American Jewish Council, only to wound his sponsors.

Now let us remove the foregoing explanatory label and handle the goods in the raw. In other words, let us look at the record by reading the following reproduced extracts from *The American Hebrew* magazine. The article is entitled "*Jews in World Reconstruction*" by Svetozar Tonjorov, (*American Hebrew*, September 10, 1920, pp. 434, 507). Take not my word for it; take not the charge ex-cogitated by the General Jewish Council for it; but take the naked, stone-faced truth of the following paragraphs to prove beyond the reach of calumny that Tonjorov characterized Communism as a Jewish attempt to reconstruct society as Father Coughlin averred and as the editors of *The American Hebrew* magazine indirectly admit insofar as they dedicated this special issue of September 10, 1920, to Jewish accomplishments.

Here Is Text

of Hebrew Article

Here are the original paragraphs:

"Out of the economic chaos, the discontent—and it was a legitimate discontent, be it noted—of the Jew evolved organized capital, with its working instrumentality, the banking system.

"That was a great achievement—an achievement almost as great as the evolution of organized government out of the selfish operations of the barons and their super-baron. Gradually, in every country in the world, the government of the barons and the government of the Jewish banker effected an alliance that constituted up to the outbreak of the great war—and apparently still constitutes—the dual force that controls the

ver to LIN'S CRITICS ARCIN

re the General ouncil

destinies of nations and of individuals everywhere.

"Organized government, like organized finance, is an essential condition to the welfare of human society. The indictment against both government and finance lies in their joint rejection of the Golden Rule—in their joint attempt, successful up to the present — to ride roughshod over the rights of nations and of individuals.

"To impose rules — and especially the Golden Rule — upon this dual Niagara of force is the paramount problem of the day.

"One of the impressive phenomena of the impressive time is the revolt of the Jew against the Frankenstein which his own mind conceived and his own hands fashioned for his defence in the darkness of the middle ages. This revolt is a continued phase of the unrest that formulated through Jewish lips the Sermon on the Mount.

Jews Boast of Effort to Reconstruct

"The workings of this unrest are to be seen in the events that have accomplished, since the fateful year 1914, a task that looms far larger than the French Revolution—the annihilation of the most firmly entrenched, the most selfish and most reckless autocratic system in the world, the Russian Czarism.

"That achievement, destined to figure in history as the overshadowing result of the world war, was largely the outcome of Jewish thinking, of Jewish discontent, of Jewish effort to reconstruct.

"Even amid the mass of legends that have been transmitted to the columns of the press by men and women whose main purpose was to paint the Russian revolution in warning colors, it is possible for the discriminating mind to discern facts that terrify.

"*The Bolshevik Movement* (italics ours) is neither polite nor tolerant; in its initial phase it was purely destructive. Force was needed to clear the Russian ground of the accumulated abuses of centuries. While it was sweeping away the obstacles to freedom, the Bolshevik broom swept away many useful and desirable things . . . (p. 434).

"The military, economic and political power which Soviet Russia is developing in the face of the united opposition of the rest of the world is a sign of the passing of the destructive phase of the *Lenine-Trotsky revolution*, which may also mean the passing of *Lenine and Trotsky* themselves . . .

"The rapid emergence of the Russian revolution from the destructive phase and its entrance into the constructive phase is a conspicuous ex-

pression of the constructive genius of Jewish discontent.

Same is Planned for Other Countries

"What Jewish idealism and Jewish discontent have so powerfully contributed to accomplish in Russia, the same historic qualities of the Jewish mind and heart are tending to promote in other countries. It was natural that, under the stress of the political and economic torrent that swept away a firmly entrenched institution of oppression in Russia, discontent in other parts of the world should find expression in overemphasis of issues and overstatement of aims.

"Such an overshooting of the mark is inseparable from all great aims in their white heat. But, just as in Russia the first violent impulses of destruction have been succeeded in an incredibly short time by a systematic and eminently successful campaign of reconstruction, so in every country the Jewish movement to bring about a happier and more rational state of society is being modified to the requirements of actualities.

"In every country the same genius that first created Capitalism to meet a great racial and universal need and then revolted against its irresponsible excesses is working to create a better state of society for its own benefit and the greater happiness of all other peoples."

[All quotations are from *The American Hebrew* magazine, September 10, 1920, "Jews in World Reconstruction," by Svetozar Tonjorov, student of world movements; advocate of American unity, pp. 434, 507.]

Russian Massacre

'Creative Genius'?

And what is your conclusion? Only this, namely, that the General Jewish Council in their booklet, "Father Coughlin — His 'Facts' and Arguments" did not tell the truth when its author charged the Radio Priest with misquoting *The American Hebrew* magazine, and misapplying his reference to the second Russian revolution in the autumn of 1917.

If a Gentile or a Christian went one-half so far as the author did in *The American Hebrew* magazine he would be labeled as anti-Semite number one. Neither Chancellor Hitler nor his minions ever made a more definite charge against Jews and their relation to Communism than was contained in *The American Hebrew* magazine.

I am tempted to ask you to re-read the quotation from *The American Hebrew*. You will find that the author claims that the Jews created Capitalism and originated democratic government in retaliation against the barons; you will find that the author claims that the Jews who originated Capitalism regarded it as a Frankenstein and then set forth to destroy it; and you will find that the author

claims Communism is the red baby set upon the door steps of civilization by the midwife of Jewry.

Finally, you will be surprised to learn that the author went to the extreme of announcing that what *Jewish creative genius accomplished* in Russia, it strives to bring about in all the nations of the world.

In all my experience it is the first time I ascertained that it required genius to murder and massacre Christians, to expropriate private property, to insist upon atheism and world revolution.

According to that rule Washington and Lincoln were very ordinary souls; and Jesse James and John Dillinger are the genius type of Americans. (Too bad neither Jesse nor John had a press agent to brag about them!)

Nobody Was Talking About Kerensky

Before we depart from this subject of the so-called misquotation of *The American Hebrew* magazine I defy any member of the General Jewish Council to point out wherein Father Coughlin, in employing the quotation, referred to the Kerensky revolution which was realized in the spring of 1917. Nevertheless, remembering that the Divinity once employed Balaam's ass as His mouthpiece, the General Jewish Council gleefully accepted the utterances of the Reverend Mr. Kernan to spread this fabrication and made it their own when this Christian clergyman strenuously intimated that the Royal Oak Radio Priest did that very thing.

Again look at the record.

Where in Father Coughlin's speech is there even an implicit reference to Kerensky and his social democratic revolution? There is not an iota of reference contained therein to give substance to this braying. Father Coughlin said what he intended to say and what he said was confirmed by the actual text of *The American Hebrew* article. As has been indicated, all references in this article concern Lenin and Trotsky and the Bolshevik revolution.

Even the casual reader wonders how the supposed scholars who composed the General Jewish Council book could risk stating that Tonjorov referred to the Kerensky revolution when his article is filled with references specifically referring to Lenin, Trotsky and their Bolshevism; when he discusses systems that are the direct antithesis of Capitalism and democracy—systems certainly in opposition to the Kerensky revolution and to the provisional Kerensky government. Even babes in the literary woods recognize that Tonjorov was

referring to Communism, the opposite of Capitalism, the antithesis of democracy.

Maybe Dr. Kernan Was Made the Goat!

Dr. Kernan, the Little Red Riding Hood who went seeking his dear grandmother of notoriety, was clumsily employed to cover the fanged wolf's head of defamatory destruction.

Personally, I would have attributed to the author of the General Jewish Council book sufficient intelligence to recognize this; and, though lacking that *savoir-faire*, I congratulate him even for an attempt that failed to burden the back of the popular goat with historical and literary sins.

An attempt that failed!

Verily the attempted Talmudic interpretation made by the Reverend Wm. C. Kernan in an address at Trinity Episcopal Church on December 4, 1938, and publicized many times, should be preserved for years to come in our classrooms to exemplify the art of *capri hircus* — the twisted horned goat—whose weapons of attack became entangled in a forest of fancies.

And another kindly word for Mr. Kernan, the capricious clergyman: We defy him to substantiate his statement that the Golden Rule, which is the law of Christian charity, is the principle of Judaism. The law of charity, the proper principle of Christianity, was first announced to the Jews by Christ, the first Christian, rather than by Christ, the Jew. Indeed, we squirm uncomfortably, together with all Christian theologians, for the Balaam clergyman whom the General Jewish Council took unto themselves as a defender, not only for the above-mentioned inaccuracies he committed but for a multitude of others such as his mangling the muse of history in his references to Palestine.

Sic transit gloria hirci! So fades the glory of the goat!

In connection with *The American Hebrew* article and the address of the Reverend Mr. Kernan and the General Jewish Council who accused Father Coughlin of misquoting it, we come to the conclusion of this chapter by remarking that the authors of the General Jewish Council book were too clever in attempting to save their own scholarship by using as their literary scapegoat men of the calibre of the Reverend Mr. Kernan; too clever, because they themselves unwittingly have become impregnated with the "odor hirci"—the smell of the goat!

(To be Continued)

Facts Don't Hamper Leaders Of 'Smear Coughlin' Campaign

Misrepresentation and Distortion Continues

NEW YORK, N. Y.—The "Smear Coughlin" campaign directors seldom allow themselves to be hampered by facts.

They continue to peddle falsehoods about the teachings of Father Coughlin and to misrepresent and distort the principles enunciated by him. This despite the oft repeated proof that those principles and teachings are based upon the tenets of the Church, as evidenced in the Popes' encyclicals.

Among the recent unfounded, truthless tirades of anti-Coughlinites is a philippic by Rabbi Newman. Speaking at Congregation Rodeph Sholom in this city, Rabbi Newman asserted:

"The Pope, through his duly constituted staff, should bring home to Coughlin that a continuance of his anti-Semitism and his support of the Nazi program are a liability to Cath-

olics in this country and elsewhere, not to mention the irreligious and hateful character of his misrepresentations concerning an unoffending minority in the community."

Such outbursts cause one to wonder if the authors of them ever have listened to a radio address of Father Coughlin's or have read any of his writings.

Surely, in the face of facts, Rabbi Newman can not actually believe his statement, for the facts contradict him.

Father Coughlin has emphasized time and again that his opposition is not to Jews as a race, but to irreligious, atheistic Jews and Gentiles affiliated with or sympathetic to Communism.

In equally unequivocal terms he has denounced Naziism and the persecutions arising under it.

Yet his defamers, for reasons best known to themselves, insist on misleading their audiences with vicious attacks bearing no semblance of truth.

Reveals Red Plot to Recruit Service Men

Says Moscow Instructed Its American Agents to Convert Army Forces

WASHINGTON, D. C.—A House investigating committee last week heard testimony by a former Red that Moscow headquarters had ordered its American agents to use sex-appeal in recruiting American soldiers and sailors into the Communist Party.

Another erstwhile Stalinite told the committee that the Workers Alliance, a union of reliefers and unemployed, is a training school for the Communist Party, that actually, it is the Communist Party.

A third witness, formerly a stage manager for a New York Federal Theatre Project, testified that W.P.A. arts projects are instruments to "sow the seeds of Communism."

Charles H. White, a Negro W.P.A. writer, said he was a member of the Communist Party from 1930 to 1936 and that he studied for 18 months in 1931-32 at the College of Professional Communists, Moscow.

Attended Soviet Agitation School

About 100 other Americans attended the school with him, mastering the gentle arts of agitation, street fighting, and sharpshooting while soaking up the principles of Communism.

The fledgling Reds, White said, were instructed to propagandize especially the United States Army and Navy and to use "young girls" to approach soldiers and sailors. The instructors explained that military men sometimes were "brutal" in their reactions to Communist men who tried to convert them, but that girls could approach them "on a social basis."

"We were told to use the armed forces as the chief arena of activity for recruiting members of the Communist Party," White testified, "and to concentrate on the usual grievances of the men against poor food, bad housing and the high cost of uniforms in order to win their confidence."

During his sojourn in Moscow, he said, he met Herbert Benjamin, now general secretary-treasurer of the Workers Alliance. Benjamin, White said, was busy at the time making



HERBERT BENJAMIN

plans and preparations for the hunger march on Washington which he led in 1932.

At a previous hearing of the committee Benjamin admitted he has been a member of the Communist Party for 18 years.

Workers Alliance Is Communist

Any doubts that the Workers Alliance is a Communist baby were dispelled by Mrs. Frank Duty, Negress, of New York, who said she was a member of the Communist Party from 1936 to 1938, but resigned when she became "disillusioned" and convinced that the entire deal was a farce—that it could not liberate the Negro people.

"You must understand," she told the committee, "that the Workers Alliance is the Communist Party. It's the nursery where we get the young plants, nurture them and recruit them into the Party."

The Alliance, she said, "owns and controls" the home relief system in New York City.

Her activities in the Alliance on behalf of the Party were directed at all times, she said, by Communist leaders.

dernourished children and adults are deprived of the healthful benefits of milk because the price exceeds what they are able to pay, production and marketing should not be restricted.

Other industries have proven the success of great volume turnover. Mass production in the automobile industry, for example, enabled manufacturers to produce more cars at lower costs, costs low enough to permit sales at prices within the reach of the working man.

The same theory should be applied to distribution of agricultural products.

Administration thinkers proceed on the premise that the country is plagued with over-production, that large surpluses exist which cannot be absorbed. True, they cannot be absorbed as long as millions of persons needing those products are unable to purchase them.

Provide those millions with adequate purchasing power and the alleged surpluses would disappear like generals in a Russian purge.

First Lady Speaks to Communist Alliance

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Communism threw out its chest in pride last week for having scored an almost incredible victory—enticing the wife of President Franklin D. Roosevelt to address a convention of the Workers Alliance, Communism's No. 1 recruiting agency.

Mrs. Roosevelt made it clear to reporters before she spoke that she was not particularly concerned by the mere fact that the Alliance is a Communist-sponsored and dominated organization.

After her speech Alliance officials, gloating over their coup, bestowed on Mrs. Roosevelt an honorary membership in the union.

Now United States citizens can boast that the First Lady of the Land has identified herself with Communism. By accepting Alliance membership she inferentially has agreed to rock the cradle of the Communist baby—the cradle that hopes to rock the world.

Tariff Penalty Reduces Trade

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The 25 per cent tariff penalty against Germany, imposed two months ago as a reprisal against the German government's treatment of German Jews, already has had its effect on German-American trade.

More than 70 per cent of German exports which entered this country were affected by the state department tariff barrier, and Germany does not expect to recover even one-fifth of this amount.

Pressure against the continuance of the prohibitive tariff has come from American importers of German goods and from German traders themselves. If the tariff cannot be removed, German interests hope to effect some sort of arrangement whereby they can exchange German goods necessary to the United States for American goods needed by Germans on a more normal level.

By its action to prevent German trade, the State department has made penalties against an individual government a matter of foreign policy, rather than one of international law.

New Deal Policies Bring Income Drop to Farmers

Figures Show Gross Returns Declined Last Year

WASHINGTON, D. C.—The New Deal's alleged efforts to help American farmers back on their financial feet resulted last year in a decline of \$1,130,000,000 in gross agricultural income.

Figures released by the Bureau of Agricultural Economics showed farmers received a total income of \$9,220,000,000 in 1938, compared with \$10,350,000,000 in 1937.

Illustrative of the futility of the Administration's impractical subsidy system is the fact that the decreased income includes \$482-million in government benefit payments.

Although President Roosevelt and Agriculture Secretary Wallace purport to restore the farmers' lost purchasing power, their methods only have complicated the already distressing situation.

The Bureau of Agricultural Economics attributed much of 1938 decline naturally, to a decrease in receipts from crops. Yet the Administration farm program provides no real means for remedying the problem.

On the contrary, New Deal Washington "farmers" oppose bitterly all attempts to enact a sound, workable law whereby producers would be guaranteed the cost-of-production price, plus a reasonable profit. Wallace has exerted every ounce of his political strength to defeat the Cost-of-Production bill now pending in Congress. As a result it is extremely unlikely that the measure will be passed during the Roosevelt reign.

After six years of foolhardy experimentation which have entailed costs unprecedented in the history of the United States, the New Dealers doggedly insist that the way to produce greater wealth for the nation is to curtail wealth.

Paradoxical though it may be, that is the theory behind crop control, the pet theory which the Administration continues to practice despite its proven failure.

While 11½-million unemployed

persons in the country are unable to buy enough of the farmers' products to live comfortably, the government declares that a "surplus" exists. The millions of needy persons in families of the unemployed can find no surplus. They find an insufficiency of products.

But the Administration is famous for its inscrutable mental processes. Crop control is one of the outstanding results of those processes.

At the same time the government was forcing farmers to limit production it also was paying them benefits 31 per cent greater than in 1937, according to the Bureau. And still the gross farm income was below that of 1937.

Receipts from all crops declined 18 per cent while those from livestock and livestock products fell nearly 9 per cent. Corn showed the only increase over 1937. Only two states, Iowa and South Dakota, enjoyed greater incomes than in 1937. Their increase was five per cent.

All other states saw their farmers grow poorer while following the administration's distorted policy of restricting production. The farmers' fellow sufferers in the city—the 11½-million jobless—meanwhile became hungrier and more depressed.

Six Sentenced for Misuse of W.P.A.

INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA—A conspiracy to use W.P.A. labor for their own private purposes has netted prison terms and fines for five former city officials of Kokomo, Indiana.

Sentenced by Federal Judge J. Leroy Adair to 15 months in prison were Olin R. Holt, former mayor and W.P.A. superintendent; Carl J. Broo, former city attorney; and George H. Morrow, former city engineer.

Mel Good, former city clerk, and George Mix, former assistant city engineer, each received a \$5,000 fine and a sentence of two years. Lincoln F. Record, former W.P.A. project superintendent, escaped with a three-month sentence and no fine.

Dairymen Expect Price Rise After High Court Ruling

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Dairy farmers in several states look hopefully for higher prices for their milk as a result of the United States Supreme Court decision upholding milk marketing orders in the New York and Boston areas.

The orders, in accordance with the milk provisions of the 1937 agricultural marketing law, established minimum prices to be paid producers.

Insofar as the purpose was to give the farmer a fair price for his product, the orders had merit. This was demonstrated when, after a lower court had invalidated them, milk prices fell from \$1.88 a hundred pounds to \$1.05.

However, the orders also provide for the control of the volume of milk to be marketed.

In a nation where millions of un-