

Comment

British Israel

WE REPRINT an article which appeared in the January 12th edition of *Our Sunday Visitor* entitled "What is British Israel?"

It is an article which might throw some light upon the efforts of those who are endeavoring to accomplish a "union now" between Great Britain and the United States.

It is an article revealing the identity of those who have risen to power in this nation:

"What is British-Israel?"

"It is based on a strange interpretation of several *Old Testament* Scripture texts, for instance, on *Jeremias 33, 17*, and *Psalms 88* (revised version *Psalms 39*) 34-38. *Jeremias* says in that verse:

"For thus saith the Lord: There shall not be cut off from David men to sit upon the throne of the house of Israel."

"The Psalm reads:

"But my mercy I will not take away from him: nor will I suffer my truth to fail.

"Neither will I profane my covenant; and the words that proceed from my mouth I will not make void.

"Once have I sworn by my holiness: I will not lie unto David.

"His seed shall endure forever.

"And his throne as the sun before me: and as the moon perfect for ever, and a faithful witness in heaven."

"British-Israelites hold that after the dispersion of the ten tribes of Israel, leaving only the two tribes of Judah in Palestine, the former emigrated to the northwest of Europe and the modern population of the British Isles, France and Germany contain their descendants; that the United States and Canada were populated largely from immigrants from these countries, and hence their people should also belong to the movement, which aims at uniting all English-speaking people, with the ruler of Great Britain over all.

"Boake Carter wrote on this subject sometime ago for the daily press, when he noted that:

"In Windsor Castle hangs a geneological chart. It is the original. On it is King George's direct descent traced from David, King of Israel. The table shows an unbroken line of descent. And the British Israelites point out that if Britain and her people are not the modern descendants of Israel and inheritors of all the promises and covenants, then where is another throne and another nation which has similar markings?

"Mr. Carter continues:

"Of Israel it was said by God, she would become in due time a 'commonwealth of nations.' Her seed was to be beside all waters. Her name is to be called 'Great.' Britain is called Great Britain. The nations closely associated with her are known as the British Commonwealth of Nations. Their shores touch every oceanic water of the world.

"Britain which in pure Hebrew means Brith-Covenant. Ish, in Hebrew, is 'man.'"

Therefore, Britain becomes "covenant people." Britannia—covenant ships.

"All British heraldry is of Israelitish origin. History calls the British the 'bull' people, because their flags and standards always carried the bull or the unicorn. A perusal of ancient records show that Ephraim's standards carried similar markings.

"British money is indented with Israelite markings and this alone makes a fascinating subject for any student of the whys and wherefores of so many things that twist and turn the world the way it now writhes in agony."

"If you will look on the back of a one dollar bill—United States currency—you will note that we have engraved thereon the British-Israel seal, and related it to our New Deal (*Novus Ordo*).

"British Israel has publications in Canada and the United States, and a great many missionaries for the cause in these countries. Followers frequently quote Cecil Rhodes, the gentleman who established Rhodes' scholarships at universities in this country many years ago for the purpose of cementing closer relationship between the United States and Britain. They also quote Andrew Carnegie at length, who urged that the United States undo what the Revolutionary War accomplished and unite again with Great Britain.

"Boake Carter notes that British Israelites believe 'that over the United States and Great Britain should be but one flag; that the two separate nations should form one true union, league, or alliance—'By Myself I have sown . . . said the Almighty, that Israel was to remain a nation before Him, forever.'"

"British Israelites hold that this covenant cannot be fulfilled in the Jews, since Jews are a race and not a nation; a nation has a king, a country and a constitution.

"We quote Boake Carter once more:

"Hence the Israelites find great pride in calling themselves such, believing Great Britain and the United States to be jointly of the House of Israel. British Israelites say that only 50 per cent are Anglo-Saxon in the United States, while the remaining 50 per cent become grafted into the Israel stock after the passage of so many generations.

"And thus, they claim, there should be closer collaboration between the United States and Britain. Further, they say that forces tending to keep the two nations apart will fail, simply because their twin courses finally merge into one, as written by the 'writing on the wall' of long, long ago."

"Boake Carter also notes that while 'The British Israelites contend that if the British throne came over to Canada the United States should be glad and proud . . . if the throne of Great Britain would be removed anywhere it would not be to Canada or Australia, but to Jerusalem. The prophecy,' they say 'makes this perfectly clear and quite emphatic:

"Christ will come to sit upon the throne of His Father, David—in Jerusalem, not Canada.

"Carter also notes:

"In the coronation service of the Kings of England, he called 'the Lord's Anointed'—after the ceremony of anointing. The English King's coronation service is almost entirely identical with the coronation service of the old Israelite Kings."

"He quotes a British-Israelite as follows:

"But I will go so far as to say this—

that if we, of Britain, are not of Israel, then I see no hope for us in this present terrible struggle. If we are of Israel, then we shall survive it, not because of ourselves, but only because of God's oath."

"And the oath?" I said.

"Not, be it said, Oh House of Israel for your sakes, but for my Holy Name's sake."

"Do not understand us to make the claim that many distinguished men and women in the several English speaking countries are identified with this movement except insofar as to help Britain's cause for the moment. We are certain that most educated men do not take the movement seriously; utterances of theirs designed to promote a better feeling in other countries towards Great Britain have rather been used by others to support the British-Israel movement."

The British 'Leopard'

IN YOUR issue of April 21 (Page 4, Comment: "75 Fight for Peace") your writer has the mistaken idea, which most persons hold, that the national symbol of England is a lion, writes another observant reader of SOCIAL JUSTICE, who goes on:

"I admit that about 20 years ago I had the same opinion. At that time I happened to be drawn into a conversation with a Rutherford radio announcer, who gave a most ridiculous explanation of the 'lion' in *Daniel's Prophecy*, Chapter 7.

"I sought for light on this subject, and went to the library to ascertain which country is symbolized by the leopard. I found that it is the emblem of England; the lion belonging to Bohemia; the wings of an eagle to Austria; and the bear, who devours much flesh, is easily recognized as Russia.

"My deduction of this Chapter Seven is that it illustrates the happenings on earth from the birth of Antichrist to his defeat and death, but not to the end of the world, which may well be a thousand years later.

"This brings to my mind the Messiah Prophecy which I have heard sung: 'Why do the kings so furiously race together? Why do the people imagine a vain thing? The kings of the earth rise up, and the rulers take council together against the Lord and against His anointed.'

"I was a witness in Paris when Delcassie brought his war plot in the Order of Freemasons. After three men, who were represented as ex-Jesuit priests, had spoken against the Catholic Church and the Pope, Delcassie followed up with his famous oratory, ending: 'Down with the traitor of Galilee and His cursed mother, and down with the governments that are friendly to the Pope!'

"The Allies paid 8,000 francs to the Serbian General Staff Officer, Dragutin Dimitrievitch, to have the Archduke Ferdinand killed, for the purpose of inciting the people to war.

"Such we find here the biggest crimes in history committed in the Peace Treaty as the right setting for the birth of Antichrist. Now we are in the time of the fourth beast, a new world order.

"Why do we have to kill people for that purpose?

"Answer: The rulers do not want to listen to God or to His anointed, and prefer to follow the voice of Satan. Woe to America if the Leopard uses the feet of the Bear! We have gold in this country for the big robbers and Jews for the little robbers."

Comment

Anti-Semites —and the War

'THE PEOPLE of the United States are divided; definitely and fearfully divided.

We are told that upwards of 80 per cent of our people not only do not want war but want to avoid positing the causes which lead to war.

And we recognize that the spokesmen for the remaining 20 per cent are clamoring for war—at least—for the causes which lead to war.

As matters stand, the 20 per cent—those who favor war—hold the upper hand.

For the most part this 20 per cent control Government, communications, finance and publicity. By use of the objects of their control, they are constantly insisting that Britain's war is America's war; that Britain's cause is America's cause.

Through Government, this minority has passed laws which not only have destroyed our neutrality but which have made us a participant—an active participant—in aiding Britain, financially and otherwise.

Through communications—cinema, radio and newspapers—this 20 per cent not only adopted a partisan attitude but are bent on creating the idea that America must go all out, sacrificing everything, to guarantee victory for the empire of Great Britain, which empire admittedly can not win victory of herself and by herself despite the hundreds of millions of persons living under the Union Jack.

Through publicity, spokesmen for this 20 per cent are demanding that America use convoys today, and her Army and Navy tomorrow, to help defeat the Axis powers on the basis that, if Britain loses this conflict, America will suffer a defeat.

An unbelievable progress has been made by this minority.

From the present outlook, it appears that our country will become a full participant in this conflict; and the 80 per cent of our people, who neither want war nor the causes which lead to war, will be overridden in the name of "democracy."

In fact, spokesmen for the 80 per cent—and they are few—are characterized as "Nazis," "Fascists," "Communists" and "anti-Semites."

Recently, the Nazi, Fascist, Communist name-calling has subsided to a degree. Not so has anti-Semite calling. It still endures.

And thereon hangs a story.

By accusing the spokesmen of the 80 per cent peace advocates of being "anti-Semitic," the war advocates are creating the inevitable conviction that the war, and our entrance into it, is somehow a pro-Jewish proposition.

It is readily admitted that this is not in accordance with the best rules of logic. However, in this illogical world, be it reasserted, that if the apostles of peace are

termed anti-Semitic, then the apostles of war are being accepted as Semites.

In plain language the sophistry already is widely accepted *that this is a Jewish war*; that America's entrance into it *is the result of Jewish activities*.

Unreasonable as all this is, let us accept the reality that we are living in an age of unreasonableness.

If we were a reasonable people, the fruits of our reasonableness would not be the godlessness, the depression and the chaos which surround us.

Like every other war, this war will come to an end.

As happened after every other war, the apostles of war will be blamed for the hardships which will ensue.

The pro-Semites and the Jews will not be able, in this age of reason, to exculpate themselves.

Ten years hence, they will be the object of attack, of recrimination, of persecution, of pogroms on a scale which will surpass anything that happened in Europe.

Ten years hence, appeals based on religion will be to no avail.

Ten years hence, appeals based on reason will be futile.

Ten years hence, a handful of analytical men will trace the unmeasured flood of anti-Semitism not to the apostles of peace, but to the apostles of war—among whom are the Jews themselves.

Then this handful of men will understand that the greatest anti-Semites in the America of 1941 were not Senator Wheeler, Senator Nye, Senator Reynolds, Colonel Lindbergh, Father Coughlin and others now labeled as anti-Semites.

Ten years hence, it will be apparent that Franklin Roosevelt, Cordell Hull, Henry Morgenthau, Bernard Baruch, Wendell Willkie, and others in that group, proved to be the arch-enemies of the Jews.

This is an unreasonable world. In it a reasonable man can expect only unreasonable reaction.

'America et Veritas'

IS HITLER'S ostentatious anti-Semitism another "slick ruse" devised by the Sanhedrin to hide the fact that Nazism is a disguised form of Jewish imperialism, just as are Roosevelt-Churchill "democracy" and Marxian Communo-Socialism?

This arresting question is propounded in an interesting letter from a Philadelphia correspondent who continues:

"All the evidence available tends to support that likelihood.

"For instance, Judaism itself is an inverted form of Nazi racism. Just as Alfred Rosenberg, and other German theorists, preach their doctrine of Nordic-Aryan supremacy, so does the 'Talmud' postulate the Jews as being the chosen race whose destiny it is to rule the world. Both 'Mein Kampf' and the 'Protocols of Zion' have much in common, and both are what Doty Thompson would call a 'blue-print for world domination,' though of course she applies the phrase to the Nazi 'bible' only."

The letter goes on:

"Louis-Ferdinand Celine, an outspoken French writer, has said: 'Judaism is the worst kind of Fascism.'

"Indeed, all the ruthless totalitarian despotism which characterizes the twin regimes of Stalin and Hitler is found in doctrinal form in the 'Talmud' and the 'Protocols.' It would, therefore, not be at all surprising if 'Deutschland uber Alles,' like 'Les Soviets Partout,' should really be but a camouflaged version of 'Israel uber Alles.'

"It is significant, too, that Hitler himself, in one of his recent tirades, said: 'Only for me, there would not be one Jew left alive in Germany today!'

"And it is true that, though the rank and file of German Hebrews along with a few prominent ones have been maltreated in the way of economic and social boycotting and sporadic brutality, this luridly propagandized persecution has never been permitted by the Nazi regime to approach the point of outright bloodshed and slaying that marked the pogroms in other countries where anti-Semitism was not an official tenet of the government as it is in Germany.

"This fact alone indicates some hidden influence that is obviously Jewish, and tends to substantiate the view that the Jewish Cabal—in order to conceal their control of the Nazi regime—are using their more or less unsuspecting co-racialists as whipping boys in the holy cause of Israel, while making sure that the scapegoat tactic doesn't cause too many casualties among the 'chosen people.' . . .

"In view of these curious circumstances, and many others," the writer concludes, "there is every likelihood that Hitler will actually be the 'Kerensky' of the planned world revolution.

"Isn't it significant that one never hears of Hitler's confiscating any of the big Jewish banks and his jailing or beheading any of the Mendelssohns, Warburgs, Oppenheims, Arnholds, or the Lazares in occupied Paris?

"And we have yet to hear of his suppressing the Prussian lodges of Masonry, which are doubtless as Judaized as the Grand Orient.

"Similarly, we have yet to read in any Marxist publication a thoroughgoing expose of the international banking racket, including our own Federal Reserve System. The 'comrades' shy from the basic money question as though it were something not quite 'kosher.'

"What is 'Das Kapital' but a disguised *apologia* for the Jewish gold standard, after all?

"Some 'boobs' will object that Hitler treated the Bank of England Rothschilds pretty roughly of late, and that they are now 'refugees' in New York City. But that kicking around was merely to keep up appearances—especially as the Sassoons and the Rothschilds run the 'democracy' branch of the racket as against the 'totalitarian' branch of Hitler, Stalin & Company.

"We, personally, will never believe that any cause touted in the controlled newspapers today is on the up-and-up, until it first abolishes privately-controlled central banking and puts all international bankers on the chain-gang for life, if not beheads them in public as traitors to mankind."

The letter of our Philadelphia correspondent speaks for itself. Comment of our own is not necessary.