judgments, even among revisionists. Floyd Dominy, for example, has usually been regarded as a leading contributor to the Bureau's golden age, but *Cadillac Desert* effectively demonstrates that his obstinate refusal to take seriously the growing challenge of environmentalists did much to bring that age to an end.

We have successfully built a civilization in an arid region. But the price is proving to be much higher than we thought—and we are just beginning to pay it.

-John D. Leshy

The Juror and the General. Patricia Roth. William Morrow. \$17.95. I have a suggestion for law school curriculum committees-construct a new course devoted to comparing this book with the one Renata Adler is doing based on her articles in the New Yorker on the Westmoreland trial. Where Adler devastatingly describes the errors of CBS, Roth sees who is lying on the general's side and sympathizes with the military men who are reluctantly testifying against him. Adler's book is a testimony to the virtue of carefully reading a court record in the quiet of the study, Roth's to the human nuances you miss if you aren't there in person. Adler convincingly demonstrates that CBS never came close to proving that Westmoreland had conspired to keep the truth from Lyndon Johnson-which was the issue on which the judge told the jury the case should turn- but Roth makes it clear that there was a conspiracy to hide the truth from the American public. If the case had come to judgment, I think the general should have won, but have been given only a dollar in damages.

Incidentally, we all agree that Dan Burt, Westmoreland's main attorney, should be studied by every

BOOKSTORES & NEWSSTANDS

Don't be surprised to find more and more people asking for *The Washington Monthly*. Avoid being caught empty-handed. Call Tim Ruder at (202) 462-0128. young lawyer as an example of what not to do when trying a case. Few trial attorneys in history have blown one so completely.

-Charles Peters

The Search for Government Effi-From Hubris ciency: to Helplessness. George W. Downs, Patrick D. Larkey. Temple University Press, \$27.95. Writers whose lot it is to review books on government bureaucracy get awfully damned tired of political science professors and their jargonized, quantified, and rarified cures for what ails government. It is a joy, therefore, to report on a book written by that breed with wit, clarity, and insight; one that quotes with equal. reverence the words of Yogi Berra, George Burns, Mary Poppins, and Calvin Coolidge.

The most discomforting thing about being a critic of the bureacracy is the company in which one finds oneself, notably the businessman who, convinced that the government machinery is operated by people whose IO is just above dribbling, comes to Washington armed with some hackneyed maxims to set things straight. Every once in a while it's a good idea to put into perspective the notion that the private sector operates on a rational basis and ask, for example, how come the chief executive of International Harvester was reaping \$600,000 a year while steering the corporation down the road to bankruptcy? Downs and Larkey note that the decisions of some of our industrial leaders make the Defense Department's \$600 toilet seats look like the buy of the century. "For every one of the seemingly countless number of executives profiled in the pages of Business Week, Fortune, and *Forbes* who save a corporation by 'far-sighted,' 'hard-driving,' 'fat-trimming,' management," they observe, "there was a corporation that needed saving."

But their particular bete noir is the report of the Grace Commission—"the archetype of the ineffectual, uninformed reform initiative that would bring business methods to government." The commission's report, which stands 10 feet high (47 unindexed volumes), contains 2,478 "cost-cutting" or "revenue-enhancing" recommendations to save \$424 billion in three years. Two thousand people reportedly worked on the survey, their work overseen by a 161-person executive committee, mostly chief executive officers. J. Peter Grace, chairman of W.R. Grace Company, has since made a second career of promoting the report by making speeches and television appearances, and providing boilerplate copy for newspaper editorials.

Many fewer people will read the devastating-and well-justifiedcritique of the Grace Commission's report in this book. If the Commission hadn't worked from the assumption that the bureaucracy is managed by fools, some of Downs's and Larkey's thrusts might seem a bit harsh. They note that more than 60 of the corporations contributing to the report were themselves below the median in performance (measured by a five-year return on equity) in their own industries, and ask by what logic managers of the Continental Illinois Corporationrecipient of a \$4.5 billion federal bailout to avert bankruptcy caused bv disastrous management practices-think they are in a position to advise the U.S. Treasury Department.

The point is not that government is efficient—it is not—but that the cure for its inefficiency does not lie in hyped-up nostrums from the business world. Anyone familiar with the workings of the federal government has seen these highly publicized imports from the private sector-the Planning-Programming-Budgeting System (PPBS) in the Kennedy and Johnson years, Management By Objective (MBO) under Nixon, Zero-Based Budgeting (ZBB) in the Carter administration—come in with great fanfare and quietly wither away.

There are myriad reforms that can help reduce inefficiency in the bureaucracy, but before they can be seriously undertaken, we must rid ourselves of the notion that business has the solution that, like some wonderful antibiotic, can cure the ills of government.

-Leonard Reed

Why spending more dollars has bought us less defense.

A veteran of two decades in OMB and the Bureau of the Budget now gives a first-hand account of the highstakes game—played by the armed forces, the White House, the Congress, and the defense contractors that turns dubious defense plans into scandalous financial debacles.

"Must reading for anyone interested in national security policy."—Rep. Tom Downey, House Budget Committee

"Thorough, tough, thoughtful and provocative."

